Date: Mon, 6 Jun 94 04:30:26 PDT

From: Ham-Equip Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-equip@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Equip-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Equip@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Ham-Equip Digest V94 #177

To: Ham-Equip

Ham-Equip Digest Mon, 6 Jun 94 Volume 94 : Issue 177

Today's Topics:

Kenwood TH-78 vs Icom IC-2W

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Equip@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Equip-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Equip Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-equip".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 6 Jun 1994 09:36:55 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!pipex!uknet!EU.net!julienas!nenuphar.saclay.cea.fr!

muguet!jcmonier@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Kenwood TH-78 vs Icom IC-2W

To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

They are both dual bander (144 & 432 Mhz) portable transceivers. I just got my license and want to buy one of them.

ASK ME WHAT'S THE BEST :

- in modulation
- in reception
- in transmission
- in quality of fabrication
- in optionnals and serials equipment ...

Thanks to all, Best 73+51.

- - -

####### #####

(* 0)
0000()0000
ATHESA FRANCE - AGENCE CEA Defense MONIER Jean-Christophe
BP 28 87, rue Juliette Adam
91 192 GIF SUR YVETTE 91 190 GIF SUR YVETTE
FRANCE FRANCE
· +
E-Mail : jcmonier@muguet.saclay.cea.fr
First of the First Discol MOA WAFF

End of Ham-Equip Digest V94 #177 ************